

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,863	11/26/2003	Jason P. Chalecki	MS1-1699US 9696	
69316 MICROSOFT	7590 06/12/2007 CORPORATION		EXAMINER	
ONE MICROS			TRAN, QUOC A	
REDMOND, WA 98052			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2176	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

• • • •	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Into majorus Strangono	10/723,863	CHALECKI ET AL.				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tran A. Quoc	2176				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Tran A. Quoc (USPTO).	(3)					
(2) Michale Colby (Attorney).	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>07 June 2007</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-45</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Bradley</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorney requested interview to discuss the claimed invention. Applicant's attorney explained their invention and clarify claims limitation, directing said limitation toward the searching XML document to locate a PI for discovering the solution included XSLT instructions and xml schema. Applicant's attorney propose to amend independent claims to include the executing logic application to perform a validation to determine if the data received by input from the user is valid or invalid; and when the validation determines that the data received by input from the user is invalid, outputting a dialog box bearing indicia informing the user that the data input is invalid, and outputting data in XML for viewing by the user in the HTML electronic form through the data-entry fields via the mapping of the data-entry fields from corresponding said nodes of the XML document. Examiner explained his position and advised Applicant's attorney that a amendment is necessary for further clarify claim limitation directing said limitation toward the invention view point for further advancement of the application and the proposed amendments may overcome the Bradley reference.